



**ACCELERATED CHARTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
(ACES)**

**FALL 2022 CA DASHBOARD
LOCAL INDICATORS REPORT FOR THE
ACCELERATED GOVERNING BOARD**

Local Indicators: Self Reflection Tools

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions: 5%
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: 0%
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): 0

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool: Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

Commented [1]: PRIORITY 2: For each of the following 5 questions (charts) – please rate by typing an “X” reflective of the 2021-22 school year.

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					
Next Generation Science Standards					
History-Social Science					

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					
Next Generation Science Standards					
History-Social Science					

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					
Next Generation Science Standards					
History-Social Science					

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education N/A					
Health Education Content Standards					
Physical Education Model Content Standards					
Visual and Performing Arts					
World Language					

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Activities	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole					
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers					
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered					

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters): Only complete if you have marked “1” or “2” in any of the categories for this local indicator.

Commented [2]: See comment.

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit:¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students'

learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of

the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

1 – Exploration and Research

2 – Beginning Development

3 – Initial Implementation

4 – Full Implementation

5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 – Exploration and Research
- 2 – Beginning Development
- 3 – Initial Implementation
- 4 – Full Implementation
- 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.	
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.	
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.	
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.	

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

[Respond here]

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

[Respond here]

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

[Respond here]

Commented [3]: Start here: Using this scale of 1 to 5 provide a rating for questions 1-4 (reflecting on the 2021-22 school year)

Commented [4]: Provide responses to Questions #1-3 below; based on feedback from your educational partners (staff, families)

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 – Exploration and Research
- 2 – Beginning Development
- 3 – Initial Implementation
- 4 – Full Implementation
- 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.	
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.	
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.	
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.	

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

[Respond here]

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

[Respond here]

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

[Respond here]

Commented [5]: Start here: Using this scale of 1 to 5 provide a rating for questions 5-8 (reflecting on the 2021-22 school year)

Commented [6]: Provide responses to Questions #1-3 below; based on feedback from your educational partners (staff, families)

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 – Exploration and Research
- 2 – Beginning Development
- 3 – Initial Implementation
- 4 – Full Implementation
- 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.	
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.	
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.	
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.	

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.
[Respond here]
2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.
[Respond here]
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.
[Respond here]

Commented [7]: Start here: Using this scale of 1 to 5 provide a rating for questions 9-12 (reflecting on the 2021-22 school year)

Commented [8]: Provide responses to Questions #1-3 below; based on feedback from your educational partners (staff, families)

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (*if applicable*) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

ACES administered a student survey (grades 5-6) with a 17% participation rate:

Findings:

Top areas of strength from students and staff:

- School rehires and retains qualified teachers.
- Staff members treat each other well.
- School maintains a positive climate and culture.
- The school provides appropriate learning materials (which may be digital or physical) to meet the needs of all students
- The school provides students and staff with access to technology (e.g. computers, laptops, software training)
- The school provides social-emotional supports for students and staff including wellness sessions, check-ins, 1:1 time, yoga, mentors, etc.

Areas of growth from students and staff:

- The school should provide more child welfare supports for students
- The cafeteria should provide more of a variety of nutritious meals.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

- 1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (Response limited to 1,500 characters)**

Currently, ACES serves approximately 514 students in grades TK-6 that include the following demographics: 99% Hispanic, 1% African American, 11% Students with Disabilities (SWD), 37% English Learners (EL), 1% Foster Youth, and 98% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged.

Accelerated Charter Elementary School provides all students with access to a broad course of study in alignment with Ed Code 51210 (where applicable) based on the nature of Accelerated Charter Elementary School's educational program.

Accelerated Charter Elementary School uses the following locally selected tools to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups and individuals with exceptional needs which include master schedule, student course schedule (semester), report cards, student presentations, and parent/conference reports. In addition, this will be verified by the principal during classroom observations and ensure classroom schedules are being followed.

- 2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (Response limited to 1,500 characters)**

An analysis of the measures listed above demonstrates that 100% of the students, including unduplicated groups and students with exceptional needs, have access to a broad course of study. At Accelerated Charter Elementary School, all students in grades TK-5 have access to and are enrolled in ELA (social studies embedded), mathematics, science, and physical education. In addition, 100% of students in grades TK-6 have access to and are enrolled in Everybody Dance; and Public School Science (1-6) courses. There

Commented [9]: IGNORE THIS SECTION: I will complete this narrative for Access to a Broad Course of Study.

are no differences in accessibility to courses, across student groups at Accelerated Charter Elementary School.

- 3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (Response limited to 1,500 characters)**

Currently, 100% of the students have access to a broad course of study and Accelerated Charter Elementary School will continue to monitor this to ensure no barriers arise to change access.

- 4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (Response limited to 1,500 characters)**

Due to the current success of Accelerated Charter Elementary School in providing all students with access to a broad course of study, no changes are currently planned, however, this data will continue to be monitored and revisions made, with implementation as needed.